

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,017	SHEU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen B. Ackerman, for Applicant(s).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

17-20

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 17-20 are directed to an invention non-elected, by original presentation. Applicant(s) have agreed to cancel Claims 17-20, and to a minor change in Claim 7, all of which now places the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)